# $Annexure\ H-Technical\ Indicator\ Descriptions\ Competition\ Tribunal\ APP-1^{st}\ April\ 2018\ to\ 31^{st}\ March\ 2019$

| KPI 1.1.1 Output Name                         |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Large Mergers: Notice of set-downs            |  |  |  |
| 1. Overview of the objective, outcome, measur | e / indicator and target to be reported on   |  |  |
| Division/department                           | Registry and Case Management   |  |  |
| Strategic Goal                                | Effective and efficient adjudication of matters brought before the Tribunal  |  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement                 | Matters brought before the Tribunal are heard within the adopted delivery timeframes.  |  |  |
| Outcome                                       | Pre hearings and hearings are set down within required timeframes.   |  |  |
| Performance Indicator                         | % large mergers to be set down for the beginning of a hearing or pre-hearing are set down within 10 days business days of the filing of the merger referral.   |  |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator          | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in setting down a pre-hearing date or hearing date for large mergers once a merger has been referred to the Tribunal by the Commission. |  |  |
| Type of indicator                             | e of indicator Efficiency indicator  |  |  |
| New indicator                                 | No   |  |  |
|   | This indicator measures the percentage of large mergers set down within 10 business days of filing of documentation by parties.  |  |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula                 | The percentage is calculated as follows:   |  |  |
|   | Percentage of large mergers set down within 10 business days of the referral = (a/b) x 100.  |  |  |
|   | where  |  |  |
|   | a = total number of large mergers set down within 10 business days.  |  |  |

|   | b = total number of large mergers set down <sup>1</sup>   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Worked example                          | E.g. If 45 large mergers were received during the period and 20 were set down and 15 of these were set down within 10 business days of the merger referral being filed, the percentage will be (15/20) x 100 = 75%. |  |
| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar   |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | 80% of large mergers set down for the beginning of a hearing or a pre- hearing are set down within 10 business days of the filing of the merger referral  |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 80%<br>Q2 – 80%<br>Q3 – 80%<br>Q4 – 80%  |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet or exceed the 80% target that has been set.  |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Merger referral documents received by the Tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul> |  |
| Data Limitations   | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The indicator uses the number set down as the denominator as opposed to the number received as receiving documentation does not mean that the merger is ready for a hearing and therefore cannot be a measure of our efficiency. Reasons for variances are given thus indicating if the Tribunal has been inefficient in any way with regard to set down

| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Registry Administrator completes the performance information report quarterly using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document which can lead to inaccuracies in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for monthly/quarterly and annual review</li> <li>Quarterly check of 5 completed case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents and vice versa</li> </ul> |  |
|--|---|--|
| Collection Frequency of Source data                      | <ul> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on CMS.</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul>   |  |
| Archiving of Source Data                                 | <ul> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy of all case documents maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of merger referral and set down notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date received / referred</li> <li>Date of pre-hearing/hearing</li> </ul>   |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data         | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance information Report"</li> </ul>  |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency                          | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>  |  |

| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data. <sup>2</sup>                 | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data                       | Registry Administrator   |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually) |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually) |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extracted Performance Information |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Performance Information Source  | <ul> <li>Merger referral documents received by the tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul> |  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used                             | <ul> <li>Total number of large mergers set down within 10 business days of the filing of the merger referral during the particular quarter.</li> <li>Total number of large mergers set down during the particular quarter.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Calculations required on extracted information                                    | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the matter to be set down has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date the merger was filed and the date the hearing was set down.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information                                 | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the performance information report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> </ul>  |  |

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Throughout this document source data refers to the documents required to verify information captured in the performance reports and NOT documents used to capture data on the CMS

|   |                           | targets set.  CMS and Qlikview reports are a point of time.  Extracted reports from Qlikview on shared folder Final Performance Information F submission.  Hard copy of all supporting docu | using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against utomatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after umentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry. |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| Return Format   |                           | Percentage  |   |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information.  Registry Administrator |                           | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information.   | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO   |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.   | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar  |

### KPI 1.1.2

### Output Name

Intermediate and small merger considerations: Notice of set-downs

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management   |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | Effective and efficient adjudication of matters brought before the Tribunal  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Matters brought before the Tribunal are heard within the adopted delivery timeframes.  |  |
| Outcome                              | Pre hearings and hearings are set down within required timeframes.   |  |
| Performance Indicator                | % of intermediate and small merger considerations to be set down for the beginning of a hearing or a prehearing within 10 days business days of receipt of the Commission's record <sup>3</sup> .                |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in setting down a pre-hearing or hearing date for Intermediate and small merger consideration once the Commission's record has been received. |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator   |  |
| New indicator                        | No   |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of Intermediate and small merger considerations set down within 10 business days of receipt of the Commission's record.   |  |
|                                      | The percentage is calculated as follows:   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | Percentage of Intermediate and small merger considerations set down within 10 business days of receipt of the Commission's record = (a/b) x 100.   |  |
|                                      | where  |  |
|                                      | a = total number of Intermediate and small merger considerations set down within 10 business days.   |  |
|                                      | b = total number of Intermediate and small merger considerations set down.   |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A business rule has been established and is reflected in the technical indicator description to use "receipt of the Commission's record" as the point of departure for measurement as opposed to "filing of request for consideration" as indicated in the Act

| Worked example                          | E.g. If 45 Intermediate and small merger considerations were received during the period, 20 had pre-hearings or hearings set down and 15 were set down within 10 business days of receipt of the Commission's record the percentage will be (15/20) x 100 = 75%. |  |
|---|--|--|
| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.   |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar  |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | 70% of intermediate and small merger consideration set down for the beginning of a hearing or pre-hearing are set down within 10 business days of receipt of Commission's record.  |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 70%<br>Q2 – 70%<br>Q3 – 70%<br>Q4 – 70%   |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet or exceed the 70% target that has been set.   |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Commission's record received by the Tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul> |  |
| Data Limitations   | <ul> <li>Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and<br/>accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to<br/>human error.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> </ul>  |  |

|   |                           | <ul> <li>Errors and corrections that affect</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on medical expension of the provided street of th</li></ul> | s and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off<br>financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document<br>in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|
| Collection Frequency of Source date   | a                         | <ul> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted into CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul>  |   |
| Archiving of Source Data  |                           | <ul> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy of all case documents maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of application and receipt of record and set down notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul>   |   |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data  Case number  Case name  Type of case  Date received / referred  Date of pre-hearing/hearing |                           |  |   |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture   | extracted data            | CMS (Case360 software)     Qlikview reports in performance model     Excel report named "Performance information Report"   |   |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency   |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>   |   |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.   | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data   | Registry Administrator  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and   | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually)<br>Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)  |

|   |                           | completeness of the extracted information   |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually) |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extr. | acted Performance Information  |
|---|--|
| Performance Information Source                        | <ul> <li>Commissions record received by the Tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used | <ul> <li>Total number of intermediate and small merger considerations set down for a pre-hearing or a hearing within 10 business days of receipt of the Commission's record during the particular quarter</li> <li>Total number of intermediate and small merger considerations set down for a pre-hearing or hearing during the particular quarter.</li> </ul>  |
| Calculations required on extracted information        | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the matter to be set down has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date the Commission's record was received and the date set down for the pre-hearing or hearing</li> </ul>  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information     | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in CMS and Qlikview that reflect performance against the targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> </ul> |

|   |                           | <ul> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report are maintained on the shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to the EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Return Format Percentage  |                           |   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information.   | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO                    |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.   | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

# KPI 1.2.1

# Output Name

Large Mergers: Orders

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal   |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of judgements/decisions in line with adopted timeframes.   |  |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of large merger orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date.   |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing of judgements/decisions for large mergers   |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | No  |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of orders for large mergers issued that were issued within 10 business days of last hearing date.  |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
|                                      | Percentage of orders for large mergers issued within 10 business days = (a/b) x 100 where   |  |
|                                      | <ul><li>a = total number of orders for large mergers issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date.</li><li>b = total number of orders for large mergers issued</li></ul> |  |

| Worked example                          | E.g. If 50 orders were issued during the period of which 40 were issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ . |  |
|---|--|--|
| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.   |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar  |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | 95% of orders for large mergers issued to parties within 10 business days of the last hearing date   |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 95%<br>Q2 – 95%<br>Q3 – 95%<br>Q4 – 95%   |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 95% target that has been set.   |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Large merger order issued by the Tribunal to parties</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases referring to decisions made by the Tribunal</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul> |  |
| Data Limitations   | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.   |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> </ul>            |  |

| Collection Frequency of Source dat  Archiving of Source Data  | ta   | <ul> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and reports.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document which can lead to inaccuracies in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for monthly/quarterly and annual review</li> <li>Quarterly check of 5 completed case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents and vice versa</li> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties</li> <li>Qlikview is a live tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy of all case documents maintained in the relevant case file.</li> </ul> |                        |
|---|--|---|------------------------|
| <ul> <li>Hard copy of order filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry</li> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing<sup>4</sup></li> <li>Date of order</li> </ul> |  | ne performance information life maintained by Registry.   |                        |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture   | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul> |   |                        |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  • CMS - data inputted on a daily basis • Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time • Performance Information Report completed quarterly   |  | utes and reports extracted at any time  |                        |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.   | Registry<br>Administrator  | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Registry Administrator |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A business rule has been established where "hearing day" can refer to any one of the following: actual hearing, telephonic hearing, paper hearing (date on which required documents are submitted – currently referred to as "last submission date").

| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually) |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually) |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extracted Performance Information |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Performance Information Source  | <ul> <li>Merger order issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal\</li> <li>Merger referral documents received by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>CMS reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Press releases issued by Tribunal referring to decisions made</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used                             | <ul> <li>Total number of orders for large orders issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date during the particular quarter.</li> <li>Total number of orders for large mergers issued during the particular quarter</li> </ul>   |  |
| Calculations required on extracted information                                    | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the order issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the last hearing and the date the order was issued.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information                                 | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in CMS and Qlikview.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained in a shared folder</li> </ul> |  |

| Poturn Format   |   | <ul> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Return Format   |   | Percentage   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  | Orting Cycle/ Frequency  Quarterly and annually |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator                       | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information.  | First level - Registrar<br>Second level - COO                    |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Registry<br>Administrator                       | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.  | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.2.2 Output Name Large Mergers: Reasons

| Registry and Case Management  |  |
|---|--|
| To ensure efficient and effective adjudicative of matters brought before the Tribunal   |  |
| Improvement in the issuing of reasons in line with adopted timeframes.  |  |
| Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |  |
| Percentage of large merger reasons issued to parties within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on.   |  |
| This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing reasons.  |  |
| Efficiency indicator  |  |
| No  |  |
| This indicator measures the percentage of reasons for large mergers issued within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on.   |  |
| The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
| Percentage of reasons for large mergers issued within 20 business days = $(a/b) \times 100$ where   |  |
| a = total number of reasons for large mergers issued within 20 business days of the date the order was issued.  |  |
| b = total number of reasons for large mergers issued  |  |
| E.g. If 50 reasons were issued during the period of which 40 were issued within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on , the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ . |  |
| None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |
|   |  |

| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Performance Target set for current year | 80% of reasons for large mergers issued to parties within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 80%<br>Q2 – 80%<br>Q3 – 80%<br>Q4 – 80%   |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 80% target that has been set.   |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Reasons issued by the Tribunal\/         <ul> <li>Order issued by the Tribunal</li> </ul> </li> <li>Official correspondence and notices received and issued by the Competition Tribunal</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflects turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |  |
| Data Limitations   | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and reports.</li> </ul> |  |

|  |  | •  | as proof of review  One file maintained for an entire which can lead to inaccuracies is monthly/quarterly and annual review. | s and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off<br>financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source documen<br>n data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for<br>view<br>case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Collection Frequency of Source data  |  | •  | Qlikview is a live reporting tool a CMS.   | CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties nd data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on ediately (both CMS and Qlikview)   |
| Archiving of Source Data   |  | •  | time Hard copy of all case documents   | ned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any s maintained in the relevant case file. ons filed in specific performance information file maintained by   |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data                               |  | •  | Case number Case name Type of case Date of hearing Date of order Date of reasons   |   |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data                                       |  | •  | CMS (Case360 software)<br>Qlikview<br>Excel report named " Performan   | ce Information Report"  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |  | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul> |  |   |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.                              | Registry<br>administrator<br>capturing on<br>CMS |  | ual(s) responsible for filing/<br>ng the collected source data   | Registry Administrator  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry staff capturing on CMS                  | verifyir   | ual(s) responsible for<br>ng the accuracy and<br>eteness of the extracted<br>ation   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)  |

Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System

Registry Administrator Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information

First level – Registry Administrator
Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually)
Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually)

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extra | acted Performance Information   |
|---|---|
| Performance Information Source                        | <ul> <li>Large merger reasons issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Order issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Merger referral documents received by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>CMS reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflects turnaround times</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used | <ul> <li>Total number of reasons for large mergers issued within 20 business days of the date the order was issued during a particular quarter</li> <li>Total number of reasons for large mergers issued during a particular quarter</li> </ul>   |
| Calculations required on extracted information        | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the reasons issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the order issued and the date the reasons were issued.</li> </ul>  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information     | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |

| Return Format   |                           | Percentage  |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information. | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO                    |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.                 | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.2.3
Output Name
Intermediate and small merger considerations: Orders

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management   |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication of matters brought before the Tribunal  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of judgements/decisions in line with adopted timeframes.  |  |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.   |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of intermediate and small merger consideration orders issued to parties within 10 business days of last hearing date.                                     |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing of judgements/decisions.   |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator   |  |
| New indicator                        | No   |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of orders for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued within 10 business days of last hearing date.               |  |
|                                      | The percentage is calculated as follows:   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | Percentage of orders for intermediate and small merger considerations = (a/b) x 100 where  |  |
|                                      | a = total number of orders for intermediate and small merger consideration issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date.                                  |  |
|                                      | b = total number of orders for intermediate and small merger considerations issued   |  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. If 50 orders were issued during the period of which 40 took place within 10 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be (40/50) x 100 = 80%. |  |
| Data limitations                     | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.   |  |

| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Performance Target set for current year | 80 % of orders for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued to parties within 10 business days of the last hearing date |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 80%<br>Q2 – 80%<br>Q3 – 80%<br>Q4 – 80%   |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 80% target that has been set.   |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective repor | ting on the adopted output and measure / indicator  |
|--|---|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Intermediate and small merger consideration orders issued by the Tribunal to the parties</li> <li>Set down notice issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Official correspondence and notices received and issued by the Competition Tribunal</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases issued by the Tribunal referring to intermediate and small merger consideration orders</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |
| Data Limitations                                       | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |
| Data Verification                                      | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> </ul> |

|  |                           | <ul> <li>as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire which can lead to inaccuracies in monthly/quarterly and annual reviews.</li> </ul>  | s and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document n data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for view case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Collection Frequency of Source date  | ta                        | <ul> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted into CMS Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul> |  |
| Archiving of Source Data   |                           | <ul> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul>    |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data                               |                           | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing</li> <li>Date of order</li> </ul>  |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data                                       |                           | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul>   |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.                              | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data   | Registry Administrator   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually)   |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of    | of Collected/ Extra       | acted Performance Information  |   |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| Performance Information Source          |                           | <ul> <li>Intermediate and small merger consideration order issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| Type of performance information to used | be extracted/             | <ul> <li>Total number of orders for intermediate and small merger considerations issued within 10 busined days of the last hearing date within that particular quarter.</li> <li>Total number of orders for intermediate and small merger considerations issued within that particular quarter</li> </ul>  |   |  |
| Calculations required on extracted in   | nformation                | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the order issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the last hearing and the date the order was issued.</li> </ul>  |   |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated   | d Information             | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance again targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed a point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintain on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing a submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |   |  |
| Return Format                           |                           | Percentage   |   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency              |                           | Quarterly and annually   |   |  |
|   | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and   | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO |  |

| consolidating the reported performance information.  |          | completeness of the extracted performance information.  |  |
|--|----------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information. | Registry | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO. | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.2.4
Output Name
Intermediate and small merger considerations: Reasons

1. Overview of the objective, output, measure / indicator and target to be reported on

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of reasons in line with adopted timeframes.  |  |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of intermediate and small merger considerations reasons issued to parties within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on.                               |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing reasons.  |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | No  |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | This indicator measures the percentage of reasons for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued within 20 business days of order being issued.                        |  |
|                                      | The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
|                                      | Percentage of reasons for Intermediate and small Mergers = (a/b) x 100 where  |  |
|                                      | a = total number of reasons for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued within 20 business days of the order date.  |  |
|                                      | b = total number of reasons for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued   |  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. If 50 reasons were issued during the period of which 40 took place within 20 business days of the order being issued, the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ . |  |
| Data limitations                     | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |

Technical indicator descriptors – Competition Tribunal Annual Performance Plan 1st April 2018- 31st March 2019

| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar:   |
|---|--|
| Performance Target set for current year | 60% of reasons for Intermediate and small merger considerations issued to parties within 20 business days of the date the order was issued on. |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 - 60%<br>Q2 - 60%<br>Q3 - 60%<br>Q4 - 60%   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 60% target that has been set.   |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective repor | ting on the adopted output and measure / indicator  |  |
|--|---|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Intermediate and small merger consideration reasons issued by the Tribunal to the parties</li> <li>Intermediate and small merger consideration reasons issued by the Tribunal to the parties</li> <li>Official correspondence and notices received and issued by the Competition Tribunal</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases issued by the Tribunal referring to intermediate and small merger consideration reasons</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |  |
| Data Limitations                                       | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |
| Data Verification                                      | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> </ul> |  |

| Collection Frequency of Source data  |  | <ul> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document which can lead to inaccuracies in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for monthly/quarterly and annual review</li> <li>Quarterly check of 5 completed case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents and vice versa</li> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted into CMS</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Archiving of Source Data   |  | <ul> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data                               |  | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing</li> <li>Date of order</li> <li>Date of reasons</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture  | IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data |   | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |  | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.                              | Registry<br>Administrator                        | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Registry Administrator   |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator                        | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)         |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator                        | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually)         |  |  |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extra | acted Performance Information  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Performance Information Source                        | <ul> <li>Reasons in intermediate and small merger consideration cases issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Order in intermediate and small merger consideration cases issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>CMS reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflects turnaround times</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used | <ul> <li>Total number of reasons for intermediate and small merger considerations issued within 20 business days of the order being issued in the particular quarter</li> <li>Total number of orders for intermediate and small merger considerations issued in the particular quarter</li> </ul>  |  |
| Calculations required on extracted information        | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the reasons issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the order issued and the date the reasons were issued.</li> </ul>   |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information     | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside S</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at a point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |  |
| Return Format   | Percentage   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency                            | Quarterly and annually   |  |

| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information. | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO                 |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Registry                  | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.                 | First level – Registry Administrator Second level - Registrar |

| KPI 1.2 | .5   |
|---------|------|
| Output  | Name |

Prohibited Practices<sup>5</sup>: Reasons<sup>6</sup>

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of reasons in line with adopted timeframes.  |  |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Reasons for prohibited practices cases issued in accordance with the delivery timeframes per category A (100 days), B (125 days) or C (150 days) from last hearing date |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing reasons.  |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | Yes   |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of reasons for prohibited practices issued within the delivery timeframes per category: A, B or C <sup>7</sup> .                 |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
|                                      | Percentage of reasons for Prohibited Practices per category = (a/b) x 100 where   |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Throughout the document prohibited practice refers to all complaints from the commission, the complainant and the High Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In exceptional cases an order may be issued before reasons but in most instances orders and reasons are issued simultaneously and therefore reasons date is taken as the indicator.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Throughout the document A refers to a Simple matter, B to a Complex matter and C to a Very Complex matter. Factors that determine the complexity of a matter include but are not limited to length of case, size of the record and complexity of legal argument. The complexity is determined by the panel Chair at the end of the hearing

|   | a = total number of reasons for Prohibited Practices per particular category issued within 100/125/150 business days (determined by category) of the last hearing date.  b = total number of reasons for Prohibited Practices issued. |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | b – total fluttibet of reasons for a fortible of ractices issued.   |  |
| Worked example                          | E.g. If reasons were issued in 5 complex matters a during the period of which 4 took place within the required 125 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be (4/5) x 100 = 80%.                                  |  |
| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar:  |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | 100% of prohibited practices reasons issued to parties in accordance with the delivery timeframes per category A (100 days), B (125 days) or C (150 days) from the last hearing date  |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 100%   |  |
|   | Q2 – 100%   |  |
|   | Q3 – 100%   |  |
|   | Q4 – 100%   |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 100% target that has been set.   |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Reasons in prohibited practice cases issued to parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS that reflects turnaround times</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Data Limitations   | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> </ul> |  |  |

| Collection Frequency of Source data                       |                           | <ul> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document which can lead to inaccuracies in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for monthly/quarterly and annual review</li> <li>Quarterly check of 5 completed case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents and vice versa</li> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in the reports once inputted into CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul> |  |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Archiving of Source Data                                  |                           | <ul> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data  |                           | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of hearing</li> <li>Date of order</li> <li>Date of reasons</li> <li>Complexity of matter</li> </ul>   |  |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data          |                           | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency                           |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/ archiving the collected source data  Registry Administrator   |  |  |

| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually) |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually) |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extra | acted Performance Information  |
|---|--|
| Performance Information Source                        | <ul> <li>Reasons issued in prohibited practice case</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the Commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times and complexity of the matter</li> <li>Hearing calendar Transcriptions</li> <li>Total number of reasons for prohibited practices issued within the timeframes as per Category A, B</li> </ul>   |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used | or C in a particular quarter  Total number of reasons for prohibited practices issued per Category A,B or C in a particular  |
| Calculations required on extracted information        | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the reasons issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the last hearing and the date the reasons were issued.</li> </ul>   |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information     | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> </ul> |

|   |                           | <ul> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |  |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Return Format   |                           | Percentage  |  |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |  |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information.   | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO                    |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.   | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |  |

| K |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   |  |   |
|   |  | 6 |
|   |  |   |
|   |  |   |

Output Name Procedural matters<sup>8</sup>: Orders

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of judgements/decisions in line with adopted timeframes.   |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of procedural matter orders issued to parties within 45 business days of the last hearing date.  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing of judgements/decisions.  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |
| New indicator                        | No  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | This indicator measures the percentage of orders for Procedural Matters issued within 45 business days of last hearing date.  |
|                                      | The percentage is calculated as follows:  |
|                                      | Percentage of orders for Procedural Matters = (a/b) x 100   |
|                                      | where   |
|                                      | a = total number of orders for Procedural Matters issued within 45 business days of the last hearing date.  |
|                                      | b = total number of orders for Procedural Matters issued  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. If 50 orders were issued during the period of which 40 took place within 45 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ . |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Throughout the document procedural matters include interlocutory applications Technical indicator descriptors – Competition Tribunal Annual Performance Plan 1st April 2018- 31st March 2019

| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.                      |  |
|---|---|--|
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar   |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | 85% of orders for procedural matters issued to parties within 45 business days of the last hearing date |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 85%  |  |
|   | Q2 – 85%  |  |
|   | Q3 – 85%  |  |
|   | Q4 – 85%  |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 85% target that has been set.                                    |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Orders in procedural matters issued to parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflects turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>   |  |
| Data Limitations   | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.   |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> </ul> |  |

|  |                           | which can lead to inaccuracies i<br>monthly/quarterly and annual re   | e financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for eview case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents |
|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| Collection Frequency of Source date  | ta                        | <ul> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in the reports once inputted into CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul> |   |
| Archiving of Source Data   |                           | time  Hard copy maintained in the rele  | ned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any evant case file.  cific performance information file maintained by Registry.   |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data                               |                           | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing</li> <li>Date of order</li> </ul>   |   |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data                                       |                           | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul>   |   |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>  |   |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.                              | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Registry Administrator  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System                | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually)  |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of    | of Collected/ Extra       | acted Perfe  | ormance Information                       |   |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| Performance Information Source          |                           | <ul> <li>Order in procedural matters issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal herein referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |   | es received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and ectronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter |
| Type of performance information to used | be extracted/             | (  | date in a particular quarter              | edural matters issued within 45 business days of the last hearing edural matters issued in a particular quarter                 |
| Calculations required on extracted in   | nformation                | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the order issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the last hearing and the date the order was issued.</li> </ul>  |   |   |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculate    | d Information             | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SL Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at ar point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintaine on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |   |   |
| Return Format                           |                           | Percentage   |   |   |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency              |                           | Quarterly  | and annually                              |   |
|   | Registry<br>Administrator |  | al(s) responsible for<br>the accuracy and | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO   |

| consolidating the reported performance information.  |          | completeness of the extracted performance information.  |  |
|--|----------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information. | Registry | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO. | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.2.7
Output Name
Consent orders and settlement agreements: Orders

| Division/department                  | Registry and Case Management  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Improvement in the issuing of judgements/decisions in line with adopted timeframes.   |  |
| Outcome                              | Expeditious conclusion of matters.  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of orders for consent orders and settlement agreement issued to parties within 10 business days of the last hearing date.  |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing of judgements/decisions.  |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | No  |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued within 10 business days of last hearing date                             |  |
|                                      | The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | Percentage of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements = (a/b) x 100 where   |  |
|                                      | a = total number of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date.  |  |
|                                      | b = total number of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued  |  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. If 50 orders were issued during the period of which 40 took place within 10 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ . |  |
| Data limitations                     | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |
|                                      |   |  |

| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Registrar   |
|---|---|
| Performance Target set for current year | 95% of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued to parties within 10 business days of the last hearing date |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 – 95%<br>Q2 – 95%<br>Q3 – 95%<br>Q4 – 95%  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 95% target that has been set.  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective repor | ting on the adopted output and measure / indicator  |  |
|--|---|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Consent order or settlement agreement issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |  |
| Data Limitations                                       | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |
| Data Verification                                      | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> </ul> |  |

| Collection Frequency of Source data  Archiving of Source Data  Type of information to be extracted source data |                           | <ul> <li>as proof of review</li> <li>One file maintained for an entire financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source docume which can lead to inaccuracies in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for monthly/quarterly and annual review Quarterly check of 5 completed case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documer and vice versa</li> <li>Case information is captured on CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy of all case documents maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing</li> <li>Date of consent order/settlement agreement</li> </ul> |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data   |                           | <ul> <li>CMS (Case360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> </ul>   |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> </ul>   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data   | Registry Administrator   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data                         | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually) |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually) |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting                         | of Collected/ Extra       | acted Performance Information   |   |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| Performance Information Source                            |                           | <ul> <li>Consent order or settlement agreement issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |   |  |
| Type of performance information to used                   | be extracted/             | <ul> <li>Total number of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued within 10 business days of the last hearing date in a particular quarter</li> <li>Total number of orders for consent orders and settlement agreements issued in a particular quarter</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| Calculations required on extracted i                      | information               | <ul> <li>Total number of business days for the order issued has to be calculated.</li> <li>This is calculated as the number of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the date of the last hearing and the date the order was issued.</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculate                      | ed Information            | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside S</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at a point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |   |  |
| Return Format   |                           | Percentage  |   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency                                |                           | Quarterly and annually  |   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and  | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO |  |

| consolidating the reported performance information.  |                           | completeness of the extracted performance information.  |  |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO. | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.2.8
Output Name
Interim Relief: Reasons

## 1. Overview of the objective, output, measure / indicator and target to be reported on

| Division/department                   | Registry and Case Management   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Strategic Goal                        | To ensure effective and efficient adjudication on matters brought before the Tribunal.   |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement         | Improvement in the issuing of reasons in line with adopted timeframes.   |  |
| Outcome                               | Expeditious conclusion of matters.   |  |
| Performance Indicator                 | Percentage of interim relief reasons issued to parties in within 20 business days of last hearing date.  |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator  | This performance indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing reasons.   |  |
| Type of indicator                     | Efficiency indicator   |  |
| New indicator                         | Yes  |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula         | This indicator measures the percentage of reasons for Interim Relief issued within the delivery timeframe.  The percentage is calculated as follows:  Percentage of reasons for Interim Relief = (a/b) x 100  where  a = total number of reasons for Interim Relief issued within 20 business days of the last date/last submission.  b = total number of reasons for Interim Relief issued. |  |
| Worked example                        | E.g. If 50 reasons were issued during the period of which 40 took place within 20 business days of the last hearing date, the percentage will be $(40/50) \times 100 = 80\%$ .   |  |
| Data limitations                      | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.   |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner | Registrar:   |  |

Technical indicator descriptors – Competition Tribunal Annual Performance Plan 1<sup>st</sup> April 2018- 31<sup>st</sup> March 2019

| Performance Target set for current year | 100% of interim relief reasons issued to parties within 20 business days of the last hearing date |  |
|---|---|--|
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 - 100%<br>Q2 - 100%<br>Q3 - 100%<br>Q4 - 100%  |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 100% target that has been set.                             |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective repo | ting on the adopted output and measure / indicator   |
|---|--|
| Source data   | <ul> <li>Reasons issued for interim relief cases</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Press releases</li> <li>Transcriptions</li> </ul>  |
| Data Limitations                                      | Information to be reported in the Quarterly/Annual Report is dependent on the completeness and accuracy of the information captured on the Case Matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.   |
| Data Verification                                     | <ul> <li>Workflows built into CMS either prevent further updating or send alerts if case data is missing</li> <li>The Registry Administrator extracts monthly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by Registrar on monthly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Registry Administrator completes the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review monthly information and quarterly reports.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by Registrar and COO and signed off as proof of review</li> </ul> |

| which can lead to monthly/quarterly a Quarterly check of and vice versa  Collection Frequency of Source data  Case information i Qlikview is a live recommended. |                           | which can lead to inaccuracies in monthly/quarterly and annual reformation of the completed and vice versa  Case information is captured on Qlikview is a live reporting tool a CMS   | financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document in data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for view case files to ensure electronic documents mirror hard copy documents.  CMS on receipt of documentation by filing parties.  and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on ediately (both CMS and Qlikview) |
|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| Archiving of Source Data   |                           | <ul> <li>Official correspondence is scanned into CMS and can therefore be accessed and viewed at any time</li> <li>Hard copy of all case documents maintained in the relevant case file.</li> <li>Hard copy of notice filed in specific performance information file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |   |
| Type of information to be extracted source data  | from the                  | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of last hearing</li> <li>Date of interim relief reasons</li> </ul>  |   |
|  |                           | Qlikview reports in performance   |   |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |                           | <ul> <li>CMS - data inputted on a daily the Companies</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minumers</li> <li>Performance Information Report</li> </ul>   | utes and reports extracted at any time  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data.  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Registry Administrator  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data   | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (only quarterly and annually)  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting information from the IT System  | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information   | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Registrar (monthly/quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (only quarterly and annually)  |

| Performance Information Source                            |                           | <ul> <li>Reasons in interim relief cases issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> </ul>   |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Type of performance information to used                   | be extracted/             | date in a particular quarter   | rim relief orders issued within 20 business days of the last hearing                                       |
| Calculations required on extracted in                     | nformation                | Total number of business days f  | for the order issued has to be calculated.  r of days (excluding public holidays and weekends) between the |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculate                      | d Information             | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show the business days and the number within SLA and outside SLA.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |  |
| Return Format   |                           | Percentage   |  |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency                                |                           | Quarterly and annually   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and   | First level – Registrar<br>Second level - COO  |

| consolidating the reported performance information.  |                           | completeness of the extracted performance information.  |  |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information. | Registry<br>Administrator | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO. | First level – Registry Administrator<br>Second level - Registrar |

KPI 1.3.1
Output Name
Record keeping and performance information

| Division/department                     | COO's Office and Registry   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Effective business applications   |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Enhancing record keeping, performance information and case flow management by harnessing facility and functionality of business applications. |
| Outcome                                 | Improved management information to inform strategic decision making and access to historical data.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | CMS deemed to be sustainable.   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance Indicator measures whether CMS is still sustainable and the period of sustainability.  |
| Type of indicator                       | Effectiveness indicator   |
| New indicator                           | No  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Report against assessment   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO, Registrar and IT Administrator   |
| Performance Target set for current year | CMS assessed to determine period of sustainability. Action plan implemented if sustainability limited to less than 5 years                    |
| Annual Performance Target               | CMS assessed to determine period of sustainability. Action plan implemented if sustainability limited to less than 5 years                    |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target that has been set.  |

KPI 1.3.2
Output Name
Record keeping and performance information

| Division/department                     | COO's Office and Registry   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Effective business applications   |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Enhancing record keeping, performance information and case flow management by harnessing facility and functionality of business applications. |
| Outcome                                 | Improved management information to inform strategic decision making and access to historical data.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Review CMS to determine if any additional enhancements required   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance Indicator measures whether additional enhancements to CMS are required.  |
| Type of indicator                       | Effectiveness indicator   |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | ITSC makes a decision approving/not approving enhancements  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO, Registrar and IT Administrator   |
| Performance Target set for current year | Plan and/or implement enhancements approved by ITSC   |
| Annual Performance Target               | Plan and/or implement enhancements approved by ITSC   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target that has been set   |

KPI 1.3.3

Output Name

Record keeping and performance information

| Division/department                     | COO's Office and Registry   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Effective business applications   |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Enhancing record keeping, performance information and case flow management by harnessing facility and functionality of business applications. |
| Outcome                                 | Improved management information to inform strategic decision making and access to historical data.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Models developed and implemented that generate statistics pertaining to the adjudicative process  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance Indicator measures whether models developed generate statistics as required  |
| Type of indicator                       | Effectiveness indicator   |
| New indicator                           | No  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Models assessed and decision made with regard to enhancements   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO, Registrar and IT Administrator   |
| Performance Target set for current year | Assess models for enhancements and determine if any new models needs to be implemented  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Assess models for enhancements and determine if any new models needs to be implemented  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target that has been set   |

# KPI 2.1.1 Output Name E-newsletter

| Division/department                     | COO's Office  |  |
|---|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                          | Ensure relevant communication to stakeholders   |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure that an integrated communication plan is developed and implemented.  |  |
| Outcome                                 | A structured and focussed process to create and enhance awareness of the work of the Tribunal.  |  |
| Performance Indicator                   | E-newsletter developed and placed on website  |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | The purpose of the performance indicator is to measure and monitor the implementation of an e-newsletter that is placed on the website. |  |
| Type of indicator                       | Timeliness indicator  |  |
| New indicator                           | New   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | This indicator measures whether the e-newsletter has been implemented and placed on the website   |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO, IT Administrator and Communications Officer  |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | Service provider sourced to develop e-newsletter that is fully implemented and available on website                                     |  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Service provider sourced to develop e-newsletter that is fully implemented and available on website                                     |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target that has been set.  |  |

KPI 2.1.2
Output Name
Communication framework

| Division/department                     | COO's Office   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Strategic Goal                          | Ensure relevant communication to stakeholders  |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure that an integrated communication plan is developed and implemented.   |  |
| Outcome                                 | A structured and focussed process to create and enhance awareness of the work of the Tribunal.   |  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Communication framework reviewed annually and quarterly communication report on strategy and media coverage presented to EXCO.                                     |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | The purpose of the performance indicator is to measure the review of the communication plan and the finalisation of quarterly reports within the agreed timeframe. |  |
| Type of indicator                       | Timeliness indicator   |  |
| New indicator                           | No   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | This indicator measures whether the communication plan has been reviewed and quarterly reports finalised within the timeframe set.                                 |  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Communications Officer   |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | Annually review framework and report quarterly on communication strategy and media coverage  |  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Annually review framework and report quarterly on communication strategy and media coverage  |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target that has been set  |  |

KPI 2.2.1
Output Name
Press releases of final merger decisions

| State of the state of                | COO's Office  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Division/department                  | COO'S Office  |  |
| Strategic Goal                       | Maintain and enhance the presence and profile of the Tribunal   |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Ensure communication pertaining to the Tribunal's adjudicative process is issued to the stakeholders within adopted delivery timeframes.                                  |  |
| Outcome                              | Press releases of final merger decisions  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Percentage of press releases of final merger decisions communicated within two business days of the order date.   |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance Indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing a press release for 95% of the final merger decisions during the specified timeframe.       |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Efficiency indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | No but existing indicator altered slightly  |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the percentage of final merger decisions for which a press release was issued during the financial year. The percentage is calculated as follows: |  |
|                                      | Percentage of press releases issued for final merger decisions issued by the Tribunal = (a/b) x 100   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | Where   |  |
|                                      | a = total number of final merger decision press releases issued during the delivery period  |  |
|                                      | b = total number of final merger decisions issued during the delivery period.   |  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. if 80 press releases were issued whilst 100 final merger decisions were issued the percentage will be $(80/100) \times 100 = 80\%$ .                                 |  |
| Data limitations                     | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.  |  |

| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Communications Officer   |
|---|--|
| Performance Target set for current year | 95% of press releases of final merger decisions communicated within two business days of the order date. |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Q1 - 75%<br>Q2 - 75%<br>Q3 - 75%<br>Q4 - 75%   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet 75% of the target that has been set.                                  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Press releases issued by the Communications Officer</li> <li>Large merger orders issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence between the Communications Officer and stakeholders</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> </ul>  |  |
| Data limitations   | Information to be reported is dependent on the accuracy of the information captured on the case matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>The Communications Officer extracts quarterly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by COO on quarterly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Communications Officer and Registry Administrator complete the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review quarterly information.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by COO and signed off as proof of review</li> </ul> |  |

|   |                           |   | financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document n data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for        |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Collection Frequency of Source data   |                           | <ul> <li>Press releases issued as and when final merger decisions issued</li> <li>Information on press releases for final merger decisions issued is captured in CMS when information is received from Communications Officer</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul> |  |
| Archiving of Source Data  be accessed and reviewed at any time  Hard copy maintained in the relevant case file  |                           |   |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data  • Case number • Case name • Type of case • Date of order for large merger • Date of press release |                           |   |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data  |                           | <ul> <li>CMS (Case 360 software)</li> <li>Qlikview reports in performance model</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> <li>Quarterly report (word document) prepared by Communications Officer that reflects press release issued</li> </ul>   |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency   |                           | <ul> <li>CMS – data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Qlikview – updates every 5 minutes and reports extracted at any time.</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly</li> <li>Word document maintained quarterly</li> </ul>   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data  | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Registry Administrator   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data  | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (quarterly and annually) |

Individual(s) responsible for capturing the extracted information onto the IT System

Registry Administrator Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information

First level – Registry Administrator
Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually)
Third level - COO (quarterly and annually)

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extracted Performance Information |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Performance Information Source  | <ul> <li>Press release issued for final merger decision issued</li> <li>Any correspondence and notices received and issued between the Tribunal, the commission and parties</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> <li>Quarterly report prepared by communications officer that includes details on press releases issued</li> </ul>  |  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used                             | <ul> <li>Total number of final merger decisions issued</li> <li>Total number of press releases of final merger decisions issued</li> </ul>   |  |
| Calculations required on extracted information                                    | <ul> <li>Total number of final merger decisions issued by the Tribunal in the particular quarter (a)</li> <li>Total number of press releases for final merger decisions issued in the particular quarter to the media after the final merger decision is issued.(b)</li> <li>(b)/(a) expressed as a percentage</li> </ul>  |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information                                 | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show date of order (final merger decision) and date of press release.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry.</li> </ul> |  |
| Return Format   | Percentage   |  |

| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information. | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (quarterly and annually) |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.                 | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (quarterly and annually) |

## KPI 2.2.2 Output Name

Press releases for Final prohibited practices decisions communicated to stakeholders

| Division/department                     | COO's Office   |
|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                          | Maintain and enhance the presence and profile of the Tribunal.   |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure communication pertaining to the Tribunal's adjudicative process is issued to the stakeholders within adopted delivery timeframes.   |
| Outcome                                 | Timely compliant communication of adjudication outcomes.   |
| Performance Indicator                   | Percentage of press releases of final decisions in prohibited practice cases communicated within two business days of the order date.  |
| Purpose of the Performance<br>Indicator | This performance Indicator measures the efficiency of the Tribunal in issuing a press release for 100% of the final prohibited practice decisions issued during the specified timeframe. |
| Type of indicator                       | Efficiency indicator   |
| New indicator                           | No but indicator slightly altered  |
|   | This indicator measures the percentage of final prohibited practice decisions for which a press release was issued during the financial year. The percentage is calculated as follows:   |
|   | Percentage of press releases issued for final prohibited practice decisions issued by the Tribunal = (a/b) x 100   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Where  |
|   | a = total number of final prohibited practice decision press releases issued during the delivery period  |
|   | b = total number of final prohibited practice decisions issued during the delivery period.   |
| Worked example                          | E.g. if 80 press releases were issued whilst 100 final prohibited practice decisions were issued the percentage will be (80/100) x 100 = 80%.  |
| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.   |

| Output and Measurable Indicator<br>Owner | Communications Officer  |
|--|---|
| Performance Target set for current year  | 100% of the press releases issued for final decisions in prohibited practice cases issued within two business days of the order date. |
| Quarterly Performance Target             | Q1 - 100%<br>Q2 - 100%<br>Q3 - 100%<br>Q4 - 100%  |
| Desired performance                      | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the 100% of the target that has been set.  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Source data  | <ul> <li>Press releases for prohibited practice decisions issued by the Communications Officer</li> <li>Prohibited practice decisions issued by the Tribunal</li> <li>Any correspondence between the Communications Officer and stakeholders</li> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Hearing calendar</li> </ul>  |  |
| Data limitations   | Information to be reported is dependent on the accuracy of the information captured on the case matrix document and CMS which is subject to human error.  |  |
| Data Verification  | <ul> <li>The Communications Officer extracts quarterly reports from Qlikview and maintains a file that contains source documents.</li> <li>Data reflected on Qlikview (originally captured on CMS) and source document reviewed to verify data against source document and ensure accuracy.</li> <li>Registry Administrator will contact official required to input missing data or make corrections on CMS</li> <li>Errors and corrections that affect prior period reporting are communicated to Registrar/COO via email</li> <li>File reviewed by COO on quarterly basis and signed off as proof of review</li> <li>Quarterly Communications Officer and Registry Administrator complete the performance information report using Qlikview reports as the basis for data collection. Same process as above followed annually thus providing further opportunity to review quarterly information.</li> <li>Performance Information reports and file reviewed and verified by COO and signed off as proof of review</li> </ul> |  |

|  |  |   | financial year and therefore no risk of duplication of source document n data capturing. In addition same source documents are used for        |
|--|--|---|--|
| Collection Frequency of Source data  |  | <ul> <li>Press releases issued as and when final prohibited practice decisions issued</li> <li>Information on press releases for final prohibited practice decisions issued is captured in CMS when information is received from Communications Officer</li> <li>Qlikview is a live reporting tool and data is automatically reflected in these reports once inputted on CMS</li> <li>Electronic reports available immediately (both CMS and Qlikview)</li> </ul> |  |
|  |  | evant case file   |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data                               |  | <ul> <li>Case number</li> <li>Case name</li> <li>Type of case</li> <li>Date of prohibited practice decision</li> <li>Date of press release</li> </ul>   |  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data                                       |  | <ul> <li>CMS (Case 360 software)</li> <li>Excel report named "Performance Information Report"</li> <li>Word document maintained by Communications Officer of press release issued</li> </ul>  |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |  | <ul> <li>CMS – data inputted on a daily basis</li> <li>Performance Information Report completed quarterly.</li> <li>Word document maintained quarterly</li> </ul>   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data                               | Communications<br>Officer and<br>Registry staff<br>capturing CMS<br>data | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data  | Communications Officer   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Communications<br>Officer and<br>Registry staff<br>capturing CMS<br>data | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information  | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (quarterly and annually) |

Individual(s) responsible for capturing the extracted information onto the IT System

Communications Officer Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information

First level – Registry Administrator
Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually)
Third level - COO (quarterly and annually)

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extracted Performance Information |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Performance Information Source  | <ul> <li>Case Management System (electronic case management system run by the Tribunal hereinafter referred to as CMS) reports</li> <li>Qlikview reports (reporting tool placed on top of CMS) that reflect turnaround times</li> <li>Quarterly report prepared by communication officer that includes details on press releases issued</li> </ul>  |  |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used                             | <ul> <li>Total number of final prohibited practice decisions issued in the particular quarter</li> <li>Total number of press releases on final prohibited practice decisions communicated to the media in a particular quarter after the final prohibited practice decision is issued</li> </ul>  |  |
| Calculations required on extracted information                                    | <ul> <li>Total number of final prohibited practice decisions issued by the Tribunal in the particular quarter (a)</li> <li>Total number of press releases for final prohibited practice decisions issued in the particular quarter to the media after the final prohibited practice decision is issued.(b)</li> <li>(b)/(a) expressed as a percentage</li> </ul>  |  |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information                                 | <ul> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports generated provide this information and perform the calculations.</li> <li>Qlikview reports developed to show date of order (final prohibited decision) and date of press release.</li> <li>Required numbers are inputted into specific work sheet in the Performance Information Report (created in excel) which performs the calculation and reflects the percentage in another sheet in the same report.</li> <li>Calculations can be verified by using specific reports in Qlikview that reflect performance against targets set.</li> <li>CMS and Qlikview reports are automatically maintained on the system and can be accessed at any point of time.</li> <li>Extracted reports from Qlikview and the Performance Information Report submitted are maintained on shared folder</li> <li>Final Performance Information Report submitted to EDD is locked in order to prevent editing after submission.</li> <li>Hard copy of all supporting documentation and proof of review in file maintained by Registry</li> </ul> |  |
| Return Format   | Percentage  |  |

| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                           | Quarterly and annually  |  |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information. | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level – COO (quarterly and annually) |
| Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.                  | Communications<br>Officer | Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.                 | First level – Registry Administrator Second level – Communications Officer (quarterly and annually) Third level - COO (quarterly and annually) |

KPI 2.3.1
Output Name
Stakeholder satisfaction survey

| Division/department                  | COO's Office   |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Strategic Goal                       | Improve stakeholder service delivery   |  |
| Strategic Objective Statement        | Identify and address stakeholder needs and expectations in order to meet or exceed requirements.   |  |
| Outcome                              | Level of stakeholder satisfaction  |  |
| Performance Indicator                | Stakeholder satisfaction survey results  |  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator | This performance Indicator measures the satisfaction of the Tribunal's customers with regard to the services rendered by the Competition Tribunal.   |  |
| Type of indicator                    | Quality indicator  |  |
| New indicator                        | No   |  |
|                                      | This indicator measures the satisfaction rate of the Tribunal's customers. The percentage is calculated as follows:  |  |
|                                      | Customer satisfaction rate = (a/b) x 100   |  |
| Indicator Definition /Formula        | Where  |  |
|                                      | a = total number of customers surveyed during the delivery period that indicated that they are satisfied with the service of the Tribunal.   |  |
|                                      | b = total number of customers surveyed during the delivery period.   |  |
| Worked example                       | E.g. if 500 customers were surveyed during the period of which 300 indicated that they are satisfied with the Tribunal's service, the customers satisfaction rate will be (300/500) x 100 = 60%. |  |

| Data limitations                        | None – required information to measure this indicator is compiled by the Tribunal.     |  |
|---|--|--|
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO and Communications Officer   |  |
| Performance Target set for current year | Satisfaction survey is conducted by March 2019 and satisfaction levels targeted at 75% |  |
| Quarterly Performance Target            | Annual target. Progress reported on quarterly in quarterly report.                     |  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet or exceed 75% of the target that has been set.      |  |

| 2. Collection of source data to enable effective reporting on the adopted output and measure / indicator |                             |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Source data  |                             | Completed Customer Survey Questionnaires   |  |
| Data limitations   |                             | None   |  |
| Collection Frequency of Source data  |                             | Survey information collected as and when the Tribunal undertakes the survey.   |  |
| Archiving of Source Data   |                             | <ul> <li>Completed Customer Survey Questionnaires maintained by the Service Provider contracted.</li> <li>Final report filed in Tribunal shared folder.</li> </ul> |  |
| Type of information to be extracted from the source data   |                             | Satisfaction rate indicated by the custome   | or.  |
| IT Systems/ Tools used to capture extracted data   |                             | Dependent on methodology applied by the Service Provider.  |  |
| Source Data Capturing Frequency  |                             | As and when the completed Customer Survey Questionnaires are received.   |  |
| Individual(s) responsible for collecting the source data   | Outsourced service provider | Individual(s) responsible for filing/<br>archiving the collected source data   | Outsourced service provider and Communications Officer |

| Individual(s) responsible for extracting the required information from the source data | Outsourced service provider | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted information | First level - Outsourced service provider Second level - Communications Officer Third level - COO |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Individual(s) responsible for capturing the extracted information onto the IT System   | Outsourced service provider | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the captured information  | First level - Outsourced service provider Second level - Communications Officer Third level - COO |

| 3. Quarterly and Annual Reporting of Collected/ Extracted Performance Information                             |                                |  |   |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Performance Information Source  |                                | Final report received from Service Provider  |   |
| Type of performance information to be extracted/ used   |                                | <ul> <li>Total number of responses received during the delivery period</li> <li>Total number of positive/satisfied responses received during the delivery period conducted.</li> <li>The number of surveys conducted.</li> </ul> |   |
| Calculations required on extracted information  |                                | Total number of responses as well as the total number of positive responses has to be counted in order to calculate the satisfaction ratio.  |   |
| Archiving of Extracted / Recalculated Information   |                                | Calculation of percentage completed by service provider and contained in their report retained.  |   |
| Return Format   |                                | Percentage   |   |
| Reporting Cycle/ Frequency  |                                | As and when conducted.   |   |
| Individual(s) responsible for extracting, calculating and consolidating the reported performance information. | Outsourced<br>service provider | Individual(s) responsible for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the extracted performance information.  | First level - Outsourced service provider Second level - Communications Officer Third level - COO |

Individual(s) responsible for archiving the extracted/ recalculated performance information.

Communications Officer Individual(s) responsible for sending the information in the required return format to the COO.

First level - Outsourced service provider Second level - Communications Officer Third level - COO

'KPI 3.1.1

Output Name

Audit outcome – compliance with good governance prescripts

| Division/department                     | COO's Office   |
|---|--|
| Strategic Goal                          | Good governance  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Increase the level of compliance with the prescripts of good governance.   |
| Outcome                                 | Accountable and transparent Public Entity  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Achieve an unqualified audit outcome year on year.   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal has adequate and effective controls in place to achieve the highest standard of compliance with the prescripts good governance. |
| Type of indicator                       | Quality indicator  |
| New indicator                           | New  |
| Indicator Definition                    | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of non- compliance with prescripts of good governance.   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | coo  |
| Performance Target set for current year | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of non- compliance with prescripts of good governance.   |
| Annual Performance Target               | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of non- compliance with prescripts of good governance.   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target.   |

KPI 3.1.2
Output Name
Audit outcome – effective oversight structures

| Division/department                     | COO's Office  |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Effective oversight structures  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Maintain effective oversight structures that promote solid business practice.   |
| Outcome                                 | Sound business practice   |
| Performance Indicator                   | Achieve an unqualified audit outcome year on year   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal has adequate and effective controls in place to ensure effective oversight structures in place and there is good governance. |
| Type of indicator                       | Quality indicator   |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of lack of oversight, leadership or governance raised   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | coo   |
| Performance Target set for current year | Unqualified audit opinion -no issues of lack of oversight, leadership or governance raised  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of lack of oversight, leadership or governance raised   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target.  |

KPI 3.3.1
Output Name
Audit outcome – Effective financial resource allocation and utilisation

| Division/department                     | COO's Office and Corporate Services (CS) division   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Effective management of the budget  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure financial management that promotes effective and efficient use of resources  |
| Outcome                                 | Optimal financial resource allocation and utilisation   |
| Performance Indicator                   | Achieve an unqualified audit outcome year on year   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal has adequate and effective controls in place to effective and efficient use of resources |
| Type of indicator                       | Quality indicator   |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Unqualified audit opinion no findings of fruitless/ wasteful/unauthorised expenditure   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO and Head of CS  |
| Performance Target set for current year | Unqualified audit opinion –no findings of fruitless/ wasteful/unauthorised expenditure  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Unqualified audit opinion –no findings of fruitless/ wasteful/unauthorised expenditure  |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target.  |

KPI 3.4.1

Output Name

Audit Outcome - Compliance with reporting deadlines

| Division/department                     | COO's Office and CS division  |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Financial governance and reporting  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure a sound control environment and monitor and maintain compliance and ensure that all reporting requirements are met.  |
| Outcome                                 | Compliance with requirements as an accountable, transparent institution.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Submission against annual deadline and no material misstatements for May submission.  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal has adequate and effective controls in place to maintain compliance and ensure that all reporting requirements are met |
| Type of indicator                       | Timeliness indicator  |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Annual reporting submission dates met May and July.  No material misstatements  |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | COO and Head of CS  |
| Performance Target set for current year | No material misstatements for May submission Annual reporting submission dates met for May and July.  |
| Annual Performance Target               | Submission against annual deadlines and no material misstatements for May submission.   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target.  |

## KPI 3.4.2 Output Name

Integrated risk management process and combined assurance

| Division/department                     | All divisions   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Financial governance and reporting  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure a sound control environment and monitor and maintain compliance and ensure that all reporting requirements are met.                                |
| Outcome                                 | Integrated risk management processes and combined assurance.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Achieve an unqualified audit outcome year on year   |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal risk management process is integrated and forms part of a combined assurance process |
| Type of indicator                       | Quality indicator   |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of risk management raised   |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | coo   |
| Performance Target set for current year | Unqualified audit opinion – no issues of risk management raised   |
| Annual Performance Target               | Unqualified audit outcome   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet the target.  |

## KPI 3.5.1

Output Name
Organisational capacity

| Division/department                     | Case Management   |
|---|---|
| Strategic Goal                          | Sustainable capacity  |
| Strategic Objective Statement           | Ensure that the Tribunal effectively leverages employee skills by recruiting, retaining and developing high quality people.                                     |
| Outcome                                 | Strengthen the Tribunal's organisational capacity and performance to deliver on its legislative mandate.  |
| Performance Indicator                   | Implementation of Case Management Graduate internship against plan  |
| Purpose of the Performance Indicator    | This performance indicator measures the extent to which the Tribunal has implemented the case management internship against a predetermined plan.               |
| Type of indicator                       | Quality indicator   |
| New indicator                           | New   |
| Indicator Definition /Formula           | The extent to which the graduate internship policy and plan has been implemented and the extent to which it strengthens the Tribunal's organisational capacity. |
| Output and Measurable Indicator Owner   | Head of Case Management   |
| Performance Target set for current year | Minimum of two graduate interns (one year internship appointed)   |
| Annual Performance Target               | Annual target to be reported on quarterly   |
| Desired performance                     | The aim of the Tribunal is to meet or exceed the target.  |